

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,562	03/19/2007	Yutaka Naruse	Q90764	5587
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 02/25/200 HON PLLC	8	EXAM	INER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ARTHUR JEANGLAUD, GERTRUDE		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/551,562	NARUSE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GERTRUDE ARTHUR JEANGLAUD	3661	

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

S

D

Α

	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
atus	
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
sposit	ion of Claims
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 4.5.7.9 and 10 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
plicat	ion Papers
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
iority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Attachment(s)

4		Notice of References	Citod	(DTO 902	
и,	,	I Molice of References	Cited	(PTO-092)	7

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, after the word "predetermined" it is unclear what comes after or what is predetermined.

Claim 2 is rejected for incorporating the deficiencies of its base claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the lateral force" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the display means" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 21, the word "thedeviation" should be separated to -- the deviation --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, one of the words apparently "the" should be deleted in "a the" before the word vehicle.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3661

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-5, 7, 10 are allowed.

The prior art fails to disclose the initialize mode memory means in which a lateral force is measured, by suing the force sensor for detecting input force from the wheel to the vehicle body, when the vehicle which is set to an optimum alignment state is run on a road surface as reference under a predetermined condition and deviation of fluctuation rate of lateral force with respect to the optimum alignment state is measured and stored; monitor mode memory means in which the lateral force is measured, by using the force sensor, when the vehicle thereafter is run on the road surface and deviation of fluctuation or fluctuation rate of the lateral force with respect to the optimum alignment state is measured, and stored; analyzing computation means in which change of the alignment state of the vehicle is analyzed on the basis of ratio of the deviation stored in the monitor mode memory means with respect to the deviation stored in the initialize mode memory means. These limitations are neither taught nor obvious by the prior art of record.

It is also advised to add the allowable subject matter into claim 3 in order to avoid another rejection.

Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

As stated above.

Art Unit: 3661

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GERTRUDE ARTHUR JEANGLAUD whose telephone number is (571)272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3661